



Genotypic and Phenotypic Diversity of *Staphylococcus aureus* Isolates from Cystic Fibrosis Patient Lung Infections and Their Interactions with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

Eryn E. Bernardy,^{a,b} Robert A. Petit III,^c Vishnu Raghuram,^{a,d} Ashley M. Alexander,^{a,e} ^(D)Timothy D. Read,^{c,e} Joanna B. Goldberg^{a,b,e}

^aDepartment of Pediatrics, Division of Pulmonology, Allergy/Immunology, Cystic Fibrosis, and Sleep, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia, USA ^bEmory-Children's Center for Cystic Fibrosis Research, Children's Healthcare of Atlanta, Atlanta, Georgia, USA

^cDepartment of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia, USA

^dMicrobiology and Molecular Genetics Program, Graduate Division of Biological and Biomedical Sciences, Laney Graduate School, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia, USA

ePopulation Biology, Ecology, and Evolution Program, Graduate Division of Biological and Biomedical Sciences, Laney Graduate School, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia, USA

ABSTRACT Staphylococcus aureus has recently overtaken Pseudomonas aeruginosa as the most commonly recognized bacterial pathogen that infects the respiratory tracts of individuals with the genetic disease cystic fibrosis (CF) in the United States. Most studies of S. aureus in CF patient lung infections have focused on a few isolates, often exclusively laboratory-adapted strains, and how they are killed by P. aeruginosa. Less is known about the diversity of S. aureus CF patient lung isolates in terms of both their virulence and their interaction with P. aeruginosa. To begin to address this gap, we recently sequenced 64 clinical S. aureus isolates and a reference isolate, JE2. Here, we analyzed the antibiotic resistance genotypes, sequence types, clonal complexes, spa types, agr types, and presence/absence of other known virulence factor genes of these isolates. We hypothesized that virulence phenotypes of S. aureus, namely, toxin production and the mucoid phenotype, would be lost in these isolates due to adaptation in the CF patient lung. In contrast to these expectations, we found that most isolates can lyse both rabbit and sheep blood (67.7%) and produce polysaccharide (69.2%), suggesting that these phenotypes were not lost during adaptation to the CF lung. We also identified three distinct phenotypic groups of S. aureus based on their survival in the presence of nonmucoid P. aeruginosa laboratory strain PAO1 and its mucoid derivative. Altogether, our work provides greater insight into the diversity of S. aureus isolates from CF patients, specifically the distribution of important virulence factors and their interaction with P. aeruginosa, all of which have implications in patient health.

IMPORTANCE *Staphylococcus aureus* is now the most frequently detected recognized pathogen in the lungs of individuals who have cystic fibrosis (CF) in the United States, followed closely by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. When these pathogens are found to coinfect the CF lung, patients have a significantly worse prognosis. While *P. aeruginosa* has been rigorously studied in the context of bacterial pathogenesis in CF, less is known about *S. aureus*. Here, we present an in-depth study of 64 *S. aureus* clinical isolates from CF patients, for which we investigated genetic diversity utilizing whole-genome sequencing, virulence phenotypes, and interactions with *P. aeruginosa*. We found that *S. aureus* isolated from CF lungs are phylogenetically diverse; most retain known virulence factors and vary in their interactions with *P. aeruginosa* (i.e., they range from being highly sensitive to *P. aeruginosa* to completely tolerant to it). Deepening our understanding of how *S. aureus* responds to its

Citation Bernardy EE, Petit RA, III, Raghuram V, Alexander AM, Read TD, Goldberg JB. 2020. Genotypic and phenotypic diversity of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from cystic fibrosis patient lung infections and their interactions with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. mBio 11:e00735-20. https://doi.org/10.1128/ mBio.00735-20.

Editor Caroline S. Harwood, University of Washington

Copyright © 2020 Bernardy et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Joanna B. Goldberg, joanna.goldberg@emory.edu.

This article is a direct contribution from Joanna B. Goldberg, a Fellow of the American Academy of Microbiology, who arranged for and secured reviews by Luke Hoffman, University of Washington, and Paul Planet, CHOP.

Received 19 May 2020 **Accepted** 21 May 2020 **Published** 23 June 2020 environment and other microbes in the CF lung will enable future development of effective treatments and preventative measures against these formidable infections.

KEYWORDS *Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Staphylococcus aureus,* cystic fibrosis, interspecies competition, phylogenetic analysis

Cystic fibrosis (CF) is an inherited genetic disease that affects over 70,000 people worldwide and is characterized by mutations in the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR). When CFTR function is compromised, mucus accumulates in the respiratory tract, creating a breeding ground for chronic bacterial lung infections. These difficult-to-treat infections are the predominant cause of morbidity and mortality for people with CF (1).

Staphylococcus aureus and Pseudomonas aeruginosa are the two most commonly recognized bacterial pathogens associated with chronic lung infections in patients with CF in the United States according to the Cystic Fibrosis Foundation Patient Registry 2018 Annual Data Report (2). In fact, S. aureus has recently overtaken P. aeruginosa as the most frequently detected bacterial pathogen in sputum samples from all CF patients in the United States (2). Outside the United States, where continuous antistaphylococcal prophylaxis of CF patients is more common, S. aureus is less frequently isolated (3–7). In addition, according to the United States-based Cystic Fibrosis Foundation's 2018 annual report, 70% of all individuals with CF were infected with S. aureus and 25% were infected with <u>methicillin-resistant S. aureus</u> (MRSA) (2).

Historically, *S. aureus* has been isolated from young CF patients, and then *P. aeruginosa* becomes the dominant species as the patient ages. However, there is a significant number of patients that are coinfected with *S. aureus* and *P. aeruginosa* (8). A number of studies (9, 10), including those from our group (11), have shown that coinfection is associated with diminished lung function and more rapid pulmonary decline. The mechanisms responsible for this worsening of disease severity is a topic of intense interest (8, 12, 13); however, exactly what promotes this decreased lung function is not known. Research attempting to understand this health decline has focused mainly on the interactions between these two microbes *in vitro*, and it has been noted that *P. aeruginosa* readily kills *S. aureus* (14–17). Furthermore, we have previously found that the mucoid phenotype of *P. aeruginosa*, which is associated with a chronic infection state, aids in coexistence with *S. aureus* (18), but this study was limited to one reference isolate of *S. aureus* from a wound infection (isolate JE2).

To date, the importance of *S. aureus* in CF remains controversial (13), as *P. aeruginosa* has historically been recognized as the major pathogen. Perhaps for this reason, the majority of studies on the pathogenesis of *S. aureus* in CF have focused on its interaction with *P. aeruginosa* in the context of coinfection. While this is important, understanding the diversity of *S. aureus* CF isolates themselves as well as their interactions with *P. aeruginosa* remains understudied. For instance, there have been relatively few large-scale comparative whole-genomic sequence data analyses using *S. aureus* isolates from patients with CF and none that have observed the corresponding interaction with *P. aeruginosa* (19–25).

P. aeruginosa and *S. aureus* are formidable pathogens that are known to alter their virulence phenotypes when shifting from acute to chronic infection of CF patient lungs. Substantial research on *P. aeruginosa* has shown significant changes in virulence phenotypes after chronic infection in the CF lung; most notable are changes in extracellular products (26). Less is known about *S. aureus* phenotypic adaptations during chronic infection. Chronic *S. aureus* infection has been characterized by the development of small-colony variants and a mucoid phenotype (when the bacteria overproduce polysaccharide), both of which aid in persistence (27–33). The prevalence of small-colony variants has been well studied in the United States, as well as other countries (34, 35). However, while substantial work has been done at two CF centers in Germany to better understand the prevalence of mucoid adaptations (34, 36), similar studies in the United States are lacking. Moreover, many *S. aureus* virulence factors are

toxins (37), but few studies investigating toxin production or function in a large number of CF clinical isolates have been performed.

Our results presented here deepen the current understanding of diversity across S. aureus isolates infecting individuals with CF, as well as the isolates' interactions with P. aeruginosa. We obtained 64 clinical isolates of S. aureus from individuals with CF from both the Cystic Fibrosis Biospecimen Registry (CFBR; a part of Children's Healthcare of Atlanta and the Emory University Pediatric CF Discovery Core) and Boston Children's Hospital. Isolates were chosen to obtain a breadth of patient ages, MRSA status, and whether or not these isolates were found with other organisms as detected by the clinical microbiology laboratory. Here, we chose to investigate genotypes and phenotypes believed to be important for S. aureus infection in the CF lung, namely, the staphylococcal protein A (spa) and accessory gene regulator (agr) types, antibiotic resistance genes, other virulence genes, hemolysis, polysaccharide production, and interaction with P. aeruginosa. Based on what we know of P. aeruginosa adaptation in the CF lung, we hypothesized (i) that S. aureus isolates from CF lung infections were unique from other S. aureus isolates based on genome sequence and (ii) that adaptation to the CF lung environment selected for isolates with less virulence. Although both of these hypotheses were ultimately rejected, we increased our understanding of S. aureus in CF. In terms of hypothesis i, we found that the isolates came from a variety of genotypes common to the United States in general rather than from a CF-specific clade. In terms of hypothesis ii, most S. aureus isolates retained virulence-associated genotypes and phenotypes, although a small number seemed incapable of hemolysis and/or polysaccharide production, suggesting possible adaptation to the lung. Interestingly, we unexpectedly found that not all S. aureus isolates behaved the same with regard to their interactions with *P. aeruginosa*, signifying the genetic complexity of this phenotype. Together, these studies show the large diversity of S. aureus isolates infecting individuals with CF and the significance that this diversity has for future study and treatment of these infections.

RESULTS

Genomic characterization of *S. aureus* **CF clinical isolates, including antibiotic resistance and virulence genes.** To begin to determine the diversity of *S. aureus* isolates from individuals with CF, we previously reported the genome sequences of 64 *S. aureus* isolates collected from 50 individuals with CF and the reference isolate JE2 (a total of 65 isolates) as described in the work of Bernardy et al. (38). *S. aureus* JE2, a derivative of USA300, was used throughout our study as a control non-CF-associated isolate because its sequence and phenotypes were known (39–41). Our CF clinical isolates were obtained from patients with a wide range of ages and were from two different sites (CFBR and Boston Children's Hospital) (Table 1).

We first created a phylogeny to indicate how genetically similar our isolates were to one another. Figure 1 shows that the isolates analyzed here represent 8 phylogenetically diverse clonal complexes (CCs) of the 66 defined previously within the >40,000 S. aureus genomes compiled to date in the publicly available Staphopia database (42). The most common CCs represented in these isolates were CC5 (Fig. 1B) and CC8 (Fig. 1C), which are also the most prevalent hospital-acquired MRSA CCs in the United States (43). In fact, 40 of the 64 clinical isolates (all CC5 or CC8) were MRSA. All CC5 MRSA isolates had the staphylococcal cassette chromosome of mec type II (SCCmec II), and all CC8 isolates were SCCmec type IV (Table 1). Seven isolates were USA300 from the North American lineage, as determined by in silico PCR using canonical primers (44, 45). These isolates all contained the Panton-Valentine leukocidin (PVL) toxin genes (IukSF), and all but one (CFBR_41) contained type I arginine-catabolic mobile element (ACME) (40); spontaneous loss of ACME cassettes in USA300 strains has occasionally been reported (46). The methicillin-sensitive S. aureus (MSSA) isolates included some CC5 and CC8 isolates and one CC398 isolate (a livestock isolate). Table 1 shows the isolates in the same order as they are represented in the phylogeny (Fig. 1A).

	Metadata	Antibiotic resistance or sensitivity to:												Coculture with P. aeruginosa as defined in Fig. 3								
Isolate	Patient ID	Patient age (yr)	Coinfection	S	ST	MRSA/MSSA	Aminoglycosides	eta-Lactams	Fosfomycin	Glycopeptides	MLS	Multi-drug	Phenicol	Tetracyclines	agr type	<i>spa</i> type	Rabbit hemolysis	Sheep hemolysis	Polysaccharide production	Coculture group	Fold change with nonmucoid PAO1	Fold change with mucoid PAO1
CFBR_30	CFBR105	53	Yes	5	632	MRSA II	R	R	R	R	R	S	S	S	2	t002	+	+	None	1	9.26E-03	2.41E-01
CFBR_16	CFBR105	53	Yes	5	632	MRSA II	R	R	R	R	R	S	S	S	2	t002	+	+	None	1	1.27E-03	1.78E-01
CFBR 29	CFBR105	54 53	Yes	5	632	MRSA II	R	R	R	R	R	s S	S	S	2	t002	+	+	OP	2	0.12E-04 1.34E-03	9.30E-03
CFBR_32	CFBR105	54	Yes	5	632	MRSA II	R	R	R	R	R	S	S	S	2	t002	+	+	None	2	6.54E-05	9.14E-03
CFBR_31	CFBR105	54	Yes	5	632	MRSA II	R	R	R	R	R	S	S	S	2	t002	+	+	None	1	1.50E-03	7.44E-02
BCH-SA-12	11	12	No	5	5	MSSA	R	S	R	S	R	S	S	S	2	t002	+	+	None	1	8.13E-05	2.00E-01
BCH-SA-05	5 CERP210	36	Yes	5	5 105	MRSA II	R	R	R	R	R	S	S	S	2	t002	+	+	N	1	1.84E-04	2.03E-01
CFBR 07	CFBR170	20 56	Yes	5	105	MRSA II	R	R	R	R	R	s S	s S	s S	2	t088	-	-	None	2	1.00E-03 1.82E-06	9.00E-01 9.14E-03
CFBR_36	CFBR280	12	No	5	5	MRSA II	R	R	S	R	R	S	S	S	2	t1228	+	_	None	1	5.83E-05	1.17E-01
CFBR_34	CFBR280	12	No	5	5	MRSA II	R	R	S	R	R	S	S	S	2	t1228	+	-	Ν	1	1.17E-03	7.01E-02
CFBR_40	CFBR336	9	Yes	5	5	MRSA II	R	R	R	R	R	S	S	S	2	t067	+	+	None	1	1.05E-03	1.60E-01
CFBR_10	CFBR134	31	Yes	5	5	MRSA II	R	R	R	R	R	S	S	S	2	t777	+	+	N	1	1.28E-03	2.15E-01
CERR 22	CERR150	30 22	Yes Yes	5	5 5	MRSA II MRSA II	к R	к R	к R	к R	к R	s s	S S	S S	2	t002 t002	+	+	None OP	1 2	3.80E-04 6.52E-07	0.80E-01 9.16E-03
CFBR 21	CFBR150	22	Yes	5	5	MRSA II	R	R	R	R	R	S	S	S	2	t002	_	_	OP	2	5.63E-07	6.38E-03
CFBR_06	CFBR152	37	Yes	5	5	MRSA II	R	R	R	R	R	S	S	S	2	t002	+	-	OP	1	2.22E-05	1.33E-01
CFBR_24	CFBR201	40	Yes	5	5	MRSA II	R	R	R	R	R	S	S	S	2	t002	+	+	None	3	1.04E-02	1.83E-01
CFBR_12	CFBR148	20	No	5	5	MRSA II	R	R	R	R	R	S	S	S	2	t002	+	-	N	1	7.78E-05	7.78E-02
CFBR_26	CFBR101	23	No	5	5	MRSA II	R	R	R	R	R	S	S	S	2	t002	+	+	OP	2	8.51E-07	8.51E-03
CFBR_25	CEBR101	22	NO	5	5	MRSA II MRSA II	К Р	К Р	К Р	К Р	R	S C	S C	S C	2	t002	+	+	OP	2	5./IE-0/ 3.7/E_07	5.43E-03
CFBR 11	CFBR101	23	No	5	5	MRSA II	R	R	R	R	R	S	S	S	2	t002	+	_	None	2	3.20F-06	3.20F-03
CFBR_02	CFBR148	24	Yes	5	5	MRSA II	R	R	R	R	R	S	S	S	2	t002	+	-	None	2	2.92E-06	9.20E-03
CFBR_17	CFBR102	23	Yes	5	225	MSSA	R	S	R	S	R	S	S	S	2	t045	$^+$	$^+$	OP	1	4.77E-04	2.48E-01
CFBR_08	CFBR196	23	Yes	5	225	MRSA II	R	R	R	R	R	S	S	S	2	t045	+	-	Ν	1	2.58E-05	9.33E-02
CFBR_15	CFBR146	29	No	5	5	MRSA	R	R	R	R	R	S	S	S	2	t002	+	-	N	1	7.50E-05	3.38E-01
BCH-SA-02	2	2/	Yes	5	5		K D	K D	K D	K D	K D	S	S	S	2	t306	-	-	OP	1	2.31E-03	6.60E-01
CEBR 20	r CFRR149	54 24	Yes	5	5	MSSA	r S	n S	R	r S	R	s S	s S	s S	2	t548	+	+	OP	1	5.45E-03	1.69E-01 3.69E-01
CFBR 01	CFBR122	30	No	5	5	MSSA	R	S	R	S	R	S	S	S	2	t002	+	+	OP	2	2.22E-07	4.56E-04
CFBR_05	CFBR238	28	No	5	5	MSSA	S	R	R	S	R	S	R	R	2	t3673	+	+	N	1	7.50E-04	2.03E-01
CFBR_23	CFBR171	22	Yes	5	5	MSSA	R	R	R	S	R	S	S	S	2	t002	+	+	OP	3	1.17E-02	8.65E-01
CFBR_19	CFBR123	23	Yes	5	5	MSSA	R	S	R	S	R	S	S	S	2	t002	+	+	OP	3	1.31E-02	3.89E-01
JE2	ND	ND	Nd	8	8	MRSA IV	S	R	R	S	S	R	S	S	1	t008	+	+	N	1	1.34E-03	5.73E-01
CFBR_47	CFBR515 CFBR420	10 6	res	8 8	8 8	MRSA IV	К Р	К Р	К Р	S C	R	R	S C	S C	1	t008 t400	+	+	N N	1	0.19E-03 7 80E_04	2.21E-01 3.52E_01
CFBR 38	CFBR314	6	No	8	8	MRSA IV	R	R	R	S	R	R	S	S	1	t008	+	+	N	1	5.56E-06	1.03E-01
CFBR_43	CFBR447	15	Yes	8	8	MRSA IV	R	R	R	S	R	R	S	S	1	t008	+	+	N	1	9.49E-04	2.76E-01
CFBR_18	CFBR120	42	Yes	8	8	MRSA IV	R	R	R	R	R	R	S	S	1	t008	+	+	Ν	1	4.09E-03	2.37E-01
CFBR_45	CFBR487	6	No	8	8	MRSA IV	R	R	R	S	R	R	S	S	1	t008	+	+	OP	1	1.21E-03	6.27E-01
CFBR_44	CFBR487	6	No	8	8	MRSA IV	R	R	R	S	R	R	S	S	1	t008	+	+	OP	1	9.43E-04	3.75E-01
CFBR_14	CEBD130	21	NO	8	8		K c	K D	K D	S	К D	К Р	S c	S c	1	t596	-	-	None	1	4.55E-07	1.59E-01
BCH-SA-13	12	34	No	8	8	MSSA	S	R	R	S	S	R	S	S	1	t008	+	+	None	1	6.25E-06	4.39L-01 2.09F-01
BCH-SA-15	14	7	No	8	8	MSSA	S	R	R	S	S	R	S	S	1	t5160	+	+	OP	1	3.75E-05	3.44E-01
CFBR_48	CFBR530	17	Yes	8	8	MSSA	R	S	R	S	R	R	S	S	1	t1883	$^+$	+	Ν	1	7.49E-03	1.04E+00
CFBR_03	CFBR153	26	No	8	8	MSSA	S	R	R	S	S	R	S	S	1	t008	-	-	Ν	2	2.22E-07	4.17E-03
CFBR_49	CFBR573	2	No	8	1181	MSSA	R	R	R	S	S	R	S	R	1	t334	+	+	OP	1	5.16E-03	9.77E-01
CFBR_27	CFBR101	23	No	8	1181	MRSA II	R	R	R	R	R	R	S	R	1	t334	+	+	OP	2	5.88E-07	2.37E-03
CEBR 35	J CEBR280	∠∠ 12	No	0 97	o 97	MSSA	s S	rί ς	rί ς	s S	د ۲	κ ς	د ۲	د ۲	ו 1	1334 †1236	-+	-+	N	ו 1	2.49E-04 2.44F_03	∠.54E-01 1.81F_01
BCH-SA-07	6	24	No	97	97	MSSA	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	1	t3380	+	+	OP	1	7.50E-04	2.03E-01
CFBR_13	CFBR213	23	No	1	213	MSSA	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	1	ND	+	+	N	1	7.92E-05	5.35E-01
BCH-SA-04	4	53	No	1	474	MSSA	S	R	S	S	R	S	S	S	3	t127	+	+	Ν	1	7.74E-04	4.77E-01
BCH-SA-14	13	17	No	8	72	MSSA	S	R	R	S	S	R	S	S	1	t1346	+	+	None	1	7.03E-04	7.49E-01
BCH-SA-03	3	42	Yes	8	72	MRSA	R	R	R	R	R	R	S	S	1	t9602	+	+	N	2	2.39E-04	3.75E-03
CFDK_39	CEDK322	4	INO	30	50	NISSA	к	к	к	2	к	2	2	2	5	ιυ21	-	+	IN	1	1.3UE-U4	I.OUE-UI

TABLE 1 Compilation of metadata, genotypes, and phenotypes from CF clinical isolates of S. aureus and laboratory strain JE2^a

TABLE 1 (Continued)

	Metadata						Ant or s	ibioti sensit	c resi ivity	istanc to:	e									Co P. d as	culture with aeruginosa defined in I	ig. 3
lsolate	Patient ID	Patient age (yr)	Coinfection	CC	ST	MRSA/MSSA	Aminoglycosides	eta-Lactams	Fosfomycin	Glycopeptides	MLS	Multi-drug	Phenicol	Tetracyclines	<i>agr</i> type	<i>spa</i> type	Rabbit hemolysis	Sheep hemolysis	Polysaccharide production	Coculture group	Fold change with nonmucoid PAO1	Fold change with mucoid PAO1
BCH-SA-08	7	8	No	30	30	MSSA	R	R	R	S	R	S	S	S	3	t8114	+	+	None	1	4.57E-05	7.34E-01
BCH-SA-11	10	19	No	30	30	MSSA	R	R	R	S	R	S	S	S	3	t122	-	-	OP	1	7.43E-06	9.23E-02
CFBR_37	CFBR390	11	Yes	30	30	MSSA	S	R	R	S	R	S	S	R	3	t9254	-	+	Ν	1	4.26E-04	1.53E-01
CFBR_04	CFBR172	24	No	30	37	MSSA	R	R	R	S	R	R	S	S	3	t914	-	-	None	2	2.21E-05	9.12E-03
BCH-SA-09	8	20	No	398	398	MSSA	S	R	S	S	R	S	S	S	1	t1451	+	+	OP	1	1.14E-03	3.57E-01
CFBR_46	CFBR509	17	Yes	45	45	MSSA	S	R	S	S	S	S	S	S	1	t073	+	+	None	3	1.56E-02	3.82E-01

^aCoinfection denotes whether a patient was coinfected with *P. aeruginosa* at the time of *S. aureus* isolate collection. Roman numeral after "MRSA" denotes the *mec* type. Antibiotic resistance (R) and sensitivity (S) were determined by the ARIBA bioinformatics tool. The " β -Lactams" column describes resistance or sensitivity to β -lactams in addition to methicillin. Phenicol is a class of antibiotics that includes chloramphenicol. MLS stands for macrolides, lincosamides, streptogramins. Glycopeptide resistance was based on *ble*, not *van*, genes. ND, not determined; +, clear hemolysis; –, no hemolysis; N, normal; OP, overproducer. Polysaccharide production is defined in Fig. 2.

We next analyzed the sequences of all 65 isolates (64 clinical isolates and JE2) for genes associated with resistance to 15 classes of antibiotics, their accessory gene regulatory (*agr*) type, their staphylococcal protein A (*spa*) type, alpha and beta toxin genes, and other known virulence factor genes. The most prevalent predicted antibiotic resistance phenotypes in our set of isolates include resistance to fosfomycin, β -lactams, MLS (macrolides, lincosamides, and streptogramins), and aminoglycosides, which were present in 57, 56, 54, and 49 isolates, respectively (Table 1). No isolates had resistance genes to fusidic acid, rifampin, sulfonamides, thiostrepton, trimethoprim, or tunicamycin. Most isolates (33 out of 65) had 6 resistance genes, while JE2 had 4. The most resistance genes present in one isolate was 8, and only three isolates had no resistance genes. To determine resistance to β -lactams, we looked at genes outside just *mecA*, like *blaZ*; this caused some MSSA isolates to be considered β -lactam resistant (Table 1). Glycopeptide resistance was attributed to bleomycin resistance, not to vancomycin resistance.

We also were interested in resistance to fluoroquinolones because these are common antibiotics used in clinics. Therefore, we screened for fluoroquinolone resistance based on known amino acid changes in GyrA and GrlA (47). Thirty-two of our 65 isolates were predicted to be resistant based on this analysis, with 3 additional isolates possibly having an intermediate resistance phenotype (having only one gene mutated). Thirtyone of these resistant isolates were also MRSA. Three USA300 isolates and JE2 were predicted to be resistant, and these 4 isolates were the only resistant ST8 (sequence type 8) isolates. Twenty out of 25 ST5 isolates and all the ST632 isolates were predicted to be resistant.

The *agr* quorum-sensing system controls multiple virulence factors in *S. aureus* and is thought to be an essential player in establishing infection (48–50). There are four known types of this system based on mutations and polymorphisms in the histidine kinase and autoinducer peptides (51). Among our isolates, there were 24 *agr* type I, 35 type II, and 6 type III (Table 1). JE2 was known to have *agr* type I, which was confirmed by our sequence analysis. None of our isolates were *agr* type IV, which is the rarest *agr* type.

Staphylococcus protein A (*spa*) is implicated in virulence and typing of this gene by identifying the specific repeats in its variable repeat region has historically been used to distinguish between circulating variants of *S. aureus* during an outbreak (52). Among our isolates, the two most common *spa* types are t002 (25 isolates) and t008 (10 isolates) (Table 1), both of which are common types in the United States (53). JE2 was confirmed as *spa* type t008 in our analysis.



FIG 1 Core genome maximum-likelihood phylogeny of isolates used in this study. Represented is a core genome phylogeny of the 65 isolates in this study produced with IQ-Tree. The tree was built from 1,984 core genes identified by Roary with 25,651 parsimony informative sites and the GTR+F+R2 substitution model. (A) All isolates. Branches with > 90% bootstrap support are indicated with a red dot. The heatmap shows, from left to right, the sheep blood hemolysis phenotype ("+" or "-"); rabbit blood hemolysis ("+" or "-"); whether the isolate was a normal polysaccharide producer, an overproducer, or did not produce polysaccharide; the coculture group (1, 2, or 3); and whether the isolate was one of multiple taken from a patient (CFBR101, CFBR105, CFBR148, CFBR150, CFBR280, CFBR487, CFPatient5, or NA [meaning a single isolate from a patient]). (B and C) Expanded portions of the same tree focusing on CC5 and CC8 isolates, respectively.

None of our isolates were closely related enough to suggest recent transmission between patients. The maximum average nucleotide identity (ANI) between any two isolates from different patients was 99.9876% (isolates CFBR_45 and CFBR_38), but the minimum within-patient ANI (excluding one outlying isolate, CFBR_11, from the group of isolates from the same patient: CFBR_25, CFBR_26, and CFBR_28) was 99.9936%. CFBR_11 most likely represents an infection with an isolate from a different source compared to others from the same patient.

All 64 clinical isolates had both alpha and beta toxin genes (*hla* and *hlb*, respectively), which has previously been linked to certain classes of infection (37, 54, 55). Compared to a known toxin producer (JE2), 14 isolates had 100% identity to JE2's alpha

TABLE 2 S. aureus CF isolates positive or negative for clear hemolysis on blood agar

 plates

No. of isolates showing hemolysis on rabbit or sheep blood agar										
Group ^a	Rabbit +/Sheep +	Rabbit —/Sheep —	Rabbit +/Sheep -	Rabbit –/Sheep +						
1	32	5	7	2						
2	8	5	2	0						
3	4	0	0	0						
Total	44	10	9	2						

^aGroups are defined in the text on the basis of the isolates' interaction with P. aeruginosa.

toxin, but another 43 had at least 99% identity. For beta toxin, 21 out of 65 isolates had 100% identity with the JE2 beta toxin, and 44 had at least 99% identity.

Finally, we looked at the presence (specifically, percent identity) or absence of 79 known *S. aureus* virulence factors in the Virulence Factor Database (VFDB) (56, 57) across the genome sequence data from the 64 clinical isolates using the ARIBA tool (58) (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). The results were in line with expectations based on the phylogenetic distribution of the isolates. Genes encoding 19 virulence factors were present in all of our isolates, including *adsA*, many of the *cap8* capsule genes (*cap8B*, *-E*, *-F*, *-M*, and *-P*), *ebp*, *esaB*, delta toxin *hld*, *hlgB*, iron sequestration operon *isdA-isdG*, *srtB_1*, and *sspC*. No isolates had *cap8A*, coagulase gene *coa*, adhesin gene *fnbB*, or *sdrD*. Additionally, only three isolates had the enterotoxin *sea* gene. Interestingly, two of those same isolates were also a part of the three isolates that had the toxic shock syndrome toxin 1 (*tsst-1*) gene. The least number of virulence factor genes in a single isolate was 45, and the most was 57 out of the possible 79 tested (Fig. S1).

S. aureus CF isolates hemolyze blood and produce polysaccharide. S. aureus utilizes an arsenal of toxins as virulence factors during infection (37). While detecting toxin genes in S. aureus CF clinical isolates is commonly performed in epidemiology studies (59), the prevalence of toxin production or function in a large set of S. aureus CF clinical isolates has not been performed. Therefore, in order to assess the virulence capabilities of our S. aureus clinical isolates, we measured the presence or absence of clear hemolysis on blood agar plates. Two of the most prominent S. aureus hemolytic toxins are alpha and beta toxin, whose production can be tested by observing clear hemolysis on rabbit and sheep blood agar plates, respectively (60-62). Of the 65 isolates tested, 44 hemolyze both rabbit and sheep blood (Rabbit +/Sheep +) (Table 2), confirming alpha and beta toxin production, while 10 could not hemolyze either blood agar (Rabbit -/Sheep -) (Table 2). The remaining 11 isolates were positive for only one type of blood hemolysis (Rabbit +/Sheep - or Rabbit -/Sheep +) (Table 2). The presence/absence of hemolysis is also represented on the heatmap in Fig. 1. Even though all isolates had both alpha and beta toxin genes present, the activity was not apparent in some of these isolates, likely due to mutations elsewhere in the genome (63).

Another important aspect of *S. aureus* physiology associated with virulence and persistence is the mucoid phenotype characterized by an overproduction of the polysaccharide poly-*N*-acetyl- β -(1,6)-glucosamine (PNAG) (64–66). Therefore, the polysaccharide production of each isolate was assessed by growing it on a Congo red agar (CRA) plate as described previously (64, 67). Results were interpreted by observing both the color and the appearance of colonies (smooth versus rough) on plates. Genetically defined isogenic mutants of *S. aureus* strain MN8 served as controls (66). We observed three different phenotypes, and an example of each of these is plated on CRA in Fig. 2. Among the 65 isolates tested, there was an even split among nonproducers (20 out of 65), normal polysaccharide producers (23 out of 65), and overproducers (22 out of 65) (Table 1; Fig. 1). Overall, 45 isolates (69.2%) were capable of producing polysaccharides to some degree, suggesting that this phenotype is conserved in *S. aureus* CF clinical isolates.

FIG 2 Plating on Congo red agar shows three phenotypes. One representative isolate of each phenotype is shown. Isolates classified as having no polysaccharide are bright red in color and smooth or shiny in appearance. Isolates classified as having normal polysaccharide production are much darker in color than those with no polysaccharide production (many were black, as shown in this figure); these isolates are also smooth or shiny in appearance. Finally, isolates classified as overproducing polysaccharide are also darker than the no-polysaccharide isolates but have a rough or matte appearance.

The *ica* operon is known to be responsible for this phenotype; specifically, a 5-bp deletion upstream of the *icaA* gene is known to confer a mucoid or overproducer phenotype (64, 66). Interestingly, none of these isolates had this deletion, indicating the presence of other mutations that cause this phenotype. This observation is consistent with a recent study by Lennartz et al., where the authors identified a small number of *S. aureus* CF mucoid isolates collected at CF centers in Germany that also did not have this mutation (36).

We were also interested in another phenotype implicated in *S. aureus* persistence, the presence of small-colony variants (27–30). However, none of our isolates were identified as small-colony variants when they were isolated by the clinical microbiology laboratory or in our laboratory.

S. aureus CF isolates fall into three distinct groups based on interactions with *P. aeruginosa*. Previously, it has been shown that nonmucoid PAO1 kills *S. aureus* lab isolate JE2, while mucoid PAO1 does not (18). Therefore, we were curious whether this trend would be maintained with CF clinical isolates of *S. aureus*, and consequently, all of our 65 *S. aureus* isolates were assessed in a coculture assay with both nonmucoid and mucoid PAO1. While a number of different techniques have been used to look at how *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* survive in coculture (18, 68–72), we have developed a simple, repeatable, well-controlled, quantitative assay for monitoring these interactions *in vitro*. This coculture assay allows for these bacteria to come in contact with one another on a solid surface as they might in a biofilm in the CF lung environment. We are also able to grow each species by itself under the same conditions in order to better understand how the bacterium's survival changes when it is grown in coculture versus alone. While we believe that this protocol is a novel method to investigate this interaction in a consistent and reproducible way, we recognize that it may not exactly replicate conditions *in vivo*.

To determine whether or not each *S. aureus* isolate is killed in the presence of *P. aeruginosa*, the fold change of *S. aureus* grown in coculture with *P. aeruginosa* compared to when it grew alone under the same conditions was calculated (Fig. 3). A fold change of less than 10^{-2} (or a >100-fold decrease in the number of CFU per milliliter when the isolate was grown with *P. aeruginosa*) was considered significantly killed (Fig. 3, horizontal black line), based on other coculture assays that measure killing (73). This definition allowed us to assign each isolate into a group based on how it interacted with nonmucoid PAO1 and mucoid PAO1. Group 1 isolates are those that fit with the

FIG 3 Coculturing *S. aureus* CF isolates with nonmucoid and mucoid PAO1 revealed 3 interaction groups. Shown are fold changes of each *S. aureus* isolate after coculture with both nonmucoid (black bars) and mucoid (gray bars) PAO1. Fold change was calculated by dividing the number (per milliliter) of CFU of each *S. aureus* isolate grown with nonmucoid PAO1 or with mucoid PAO1 by the number of CFU of each *S. aureus* isolate grown alone. A fold change of $<10^{-2}$ was considered to represent significant killing, as shown by the horizontal black line, denoted a "killing line" in the text. (A) Group 1 isolates (nonmucoid kills) have black bars below 10^{-2} , while all gray bars are above this threshold. There were 46 isolates that fit into this interaction group, including the previously tested lab isolate JE2. (B) Group 2 isolates (both kill) have both black and gray bars above the 10^{-2} threshold. Fifteen isolates are in this interaction group. (C) Group 3 isolates (neither kills) have both black and gray bars above the 10^{-2} threshold. Four isolates are in this group. The averages from technical triplicates of one experiment representative of the three biological replicates performed are shown.

previous trend observed and are killed only by nonmucoid PAO1 (Fig. 3A). We observed a range in fold changes, but each fit this trend; the black bars designating fold changes from levels of growth with nonmucoid PAO1 are all below the killing line, while the gray bars designating fold changes from levels of growth with mucoid PAO1 are all above the killing line. Most isolates (46 out of 65), including previously tested isolate JE2, fit in this group (Fig. 3A). Group 2 isolates were those killed by both nonmucoid and mucoid PAO1 isolates (15 out of 65) (Fig. 3B), for which both black and gray bars are below the killing line. Finally, group 3 isolates were those not killed by either PAO1 strain (4 out of 65) (Fig. 3C), for which both bars are above the killing line. Coculture group is also represented on the heatmap in Fig. 1 ("Coculture_group" column). For the remainder of the paper, any mention of coculture group will also have "nonmucoid kills" for group 1, "both kill" for group 2, and "neither kill" for group 3 to help remind the reader of these phenotypic definitions.

None of the *S. aureus* isolates that we tested affected the growth of either the nonmucoid or the mucoid PAO1 strain in this assay (Fig. S2). We also determined that the decrease in survival when *S. aureus* is grown with *P. aeruginosa* is due to killing, not growth inhibition. This was shown by performing the same coculture assay, but instead of taking one time point at 24 h, we measured numbers of CFU of *S. aureus* per milliliter alone and with both nonmucoid and mucoid *P. aeruginosa* at multiple time points. We observed that *S. aureus* grows well with *P. aeruginosa* until approximately 12 h, when the cell numbers of *S. aureus* grown with nonmucoid PAO1 drop dramatically (Fig. S3), suggesting that the fold changes we see are, in fact, killing and not due to growth inhibition. Finally, these *S. aureus* isolates did not inherently have different growth patterns. As shown in Fig. S4, all *S. aureus* isolates when grown alone grow to approximately the same number of CFU per milliliter (~10⁹) over 24 h under the coculture assay conditions. Therefore, we conclude that the differences in survival of the various *S. aureus* isolates when grown with *P. aeruginosa* are not due to inherent differences in growth.

DISCUSSION

Phylogenetic relatedness to genotypes and phenotypes. To understand the diversity present in this set of 64 S. aureus CF clinical isolates and the reference isolate JE2 (65 total), we sought to combine our genotypic and phenotypic data, as well as metadata obtained from the clinical microbiology lab. Most of our isolates belonged to CC5 and CC8, which is consistent with the most commonly acquired hospital MRSA isolates, possibly suggesting nosocomial acquisition. In Table 1, the isolates are in the order placed in the phylogeny in Fig. 1, and the results of the tested phenotypes, as well as whether the isolates are longitudinal, are represented in a heatmap in Fig. 1. Therefore, we can observe whether genotypes and phenotypes are linked based on full-genome sequence relatedness. As expected, isolates with the same clonal complexes and sequence types, as well as the MRSA/MSSA phenotype, agr type, and spa type, cluster together (Table 1). When we observed the presence/absence of virulence factors, all 8 isolates that had Panton-Valentine leukocidin (PVL) genes, lukS and lukF, including JE2 (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material), were clustered together in the CC8 group (Fig. 1C). A similar clustering was seen when we observed the 3 isolates that had the toxin genes sea and tsst-1.

When comparing phylogenetic relatedness and observed phenotypes, we revealed some interesting connections. While isolates with rabbit and sheep hemolysis do not seem to obey any order based on relatedness, isolates do appear to group together based on polysaccharide production phenotypes (Fig. 1). This clustering of phenotypes suggests a genetic association. Studies are ongoing to determine the genetic basis for the mucoid phenotype of these isolates, outside the known mechanisms. Coinfection has a similar pattern; isolates from coinfection cluster together (Table 1, near the top) and those not from coinfection cluster together (Table 1, near the bottom), suggesting a genetic association between *S. aureus* isolates obtained from the clinical microbiology laboratory at the same time as *P. aeruginosa*.

There was one connection between phylogeny and phenotypes that was notably absent; there was no observed relationship between the coculture interaction group and phylogeny. As seen in Table 1 and Fig. 1, there is an instance where groups cluster together; specifically, 2 out of 4 group 3 (neither kill) isolates cluster together. But group 1 (nonmucoid kills) and group 2 (both kill) isolates appear well distributed throughout the phylogeny. This suggests that what makes these isolates a specific coculture group is more complex than originally anticipated.

Longitudinal isolates. Some of our isolates were longitudinal; they came from the same individual with CF ("Patient ID" column of Table 1 and Fig. 1) and were collected

over a period of time. In both Table 1 and Fig. 1, the longitudinal isolates cluster together in the phylogeny, suggesting that these isolates likely all originated from one initial infecting isolate or at least isolates that are very closely related. As expected, many of their phenotypes were similar. For example, most isolates collected from the same individual had the same hemolysis phenotype (Table 1 and Fig. 1, "Rabbit_hemolysis" and "Sheep_hemolysis" columns). However, one patient, CFBR105, provided four isolates in group 1 (nonmucoid kills), and two isolates in group 2 (both kill) collected over 282 days. The group 2 isolates were the last two collected, suggesting a possible transition to intolerance to mucoid P. aeruginosa under selection. However, there were no genetic changes shared between the later isolates (CFBR_32 and CFBR_33) that were absent in the earlier isolates (Table S1; Text S1). This suggests that the genetic basis of P. aeruginosa tolerance is likely complex. Isolates from patient CFBR105 also produce differing amounts of polysaccharide (Table 1), which may represent an adaption to the CF lung over time. However, an alternate hypothesis is that these isolates were always present in the lung growing in a population but that, during collection, only a single colony was chosen, possibly representing only a fraction of the diversity within the infecting population at the time of sampling.

Hemolysis and polysaccharide production were common among our isolates. Toxins are an important part of *S. aureus* virulence, and alpha toxin has been suggested to be important for pulmonary infection in a CF mouse model (74). Consistent with this observation, most of the *S. aureus* clinical isolates tested produced hemolytic toxins, determined by their ability to completely hemolyze both rabbit and sheep blood. Interestingly, only 10 isolates (15.4%) were unable to hemolyze either blood agar. The ubiquity of hemolytic activity in these isolates suggests that *S. aureus* toxicity may be important in CF infection (37) or at least that it is not selected against.

S. aureus polysaccharide production has also been implicated to be important for chronic colonization in the CF lung (64). Qualitative phenotypic characterization of polysaccharide production following plating on CRA in this study shows that, consistently with this hypothesis, most isolates were capable of producing polysaccharide (both normal producers and overproducers). Twenty isolates (30.8%) were characterized as nonproducers due to their red color and smooth appearance on CRA plates. These isolates may have other mechanisms for attachment and biofilm production outside this specific polysaccharide, may live within a community that can compensate for that missing phenotype, or may benefit in some other way by not adhering to surfaces. Overall, we conclude that polysaccharide production was common among these clinical isolates, suggesting that this factor is important in CF lung infection.

Group 1 isolates may come from initial infection. While it is generally understood that P. aeruginosa kills S. aureus in vitro (14–18, 68, 75) and is hypothesized to also do so in vivo, these previous studies were performed on a small number of strains and focused on how P. aeruginosa kills S. aureus. Our studies here allow us to determine if P. aeruginosa killing S. aureus is typical of CF isolates. Our group previously showed that JE2, an isolate from a wound infection, was killed by nonmucoid PAO1 but survived when cocultured with mucoid PAO1 and subsequently discussed the mechanism behind this conclusion (18); therefore, we examined if S. aureus CF isolates behaved similarly. The majority of our isolates (46 of 65), including JE2, were killed by nonmucoid PAO1 only, and we subsequently called these our group 1 (nonmucoid kills) isolates. While it is not surprising that these isolates behaved this way due to previously defined mechanisms discussed in the work of Limoli et al. (18), it is interesting that isolates from lung infection behave the same as an isolate from a wound infection with regard to interaction with P. aeruginosa. Remarkably, when we looked at group 1 (nonmucoid kills) isolates in relation to other data collected in this study (Table 1), many were from younger patients and were sensitive to aminoglycosides and glycopeptides. This observation suggests that these isolates may be from the initial stages of infection. There is a known switch in predominance of infection from S. aureus in childhood to P. aeruginosa in adults with CF in the United States which aligns with our observation, because S. aureus isolates from initial infection could be sensitive to P. aeruginosa which

would allow for this transition to occur. These trends remain when you instead look at the fold change values in Table 1 instead of the coculture group. Therefore, while assigning groups may seem subjective due to a chosen fold change cutoff, the conclusions still stand when observing fold change values alone.

Group 2 isolates were incapable of hemolysis but more resistant to antibiotics. While most isolates were in group 1 (nonmucoid kills), we recognized a set of isolates that were killed by both nonmucoid and mucoid PAO1, denoted group 2 (15 out of 65 isolates; both kill). This observed phenotype was surprising to us based on how often these two bacteria are thought to coinfect the CF lung. It is likely that these isolates have not come in direct contact with *P. aeruginosa* and therefore have not had a need to develop defensive strategies. These isolates may also have other mutations that increase their fitness in CF lung that coincidentally led to them being less competitive with P. aeruginosa. In line with this hypothesis, half of the isolates that were incapable of hemolyzing either type of blood agar (Rabbit -/Sheep -) (Table 2) were in coculture group 2 (both kill). Therefore, it is tempting to speculate that these isolates may have lost some virulence phenotypes, resulting in lack of hemolysis and increased susceptibility to killing by P. aeruginosa. While looking at group 2 (both kill) isolates in Table 1, we noticed that many were from older patients and were resistant to methicillin (MRSA), aminoglycosides, and glycopeptides. As stated above, these trends were still present when we looked at fold change values instead of the coculture group. These observations may suggest that group 2 isolates (both kill) come from chronic infection due to increases in the antibiotic resistance and the age of the patient from which they were collected. There is also a study suggesting an inverse relationship between toxin production and the ability to cause infections, with low-cytotoxicity isolates causing more fatal infections (76). These data support our hypothesis that these isolates might cause chronic infection because many group 2 isolates (both kill) were negative for hemolysis. As previously mentioned, some of our longitudinal isolates switched to group 2 over time in the same patient (Table S1), consistent with these data. Therefore, we might be observing a S. aureus adaptation over time in the CF lung where the isolate loses expression of virulence factors, similarly to P. aeruginosa. It is interesting to consider that during CF lung infection, it might be more advantageous for S. aureus to retain antibiotic resistance phenotypes rather than to relinquish them to coexist with P. aeruginosa, leading to a group 2 interaction phenotype.

Group 3 isolates were coinfected with P. aeruginosa at the time of collection. The most surprising group of isolates are those that were resistant to killing by both nonmucoid and mucoid PAO1, denoted group 3 (4 of 65 isolates; neither kills). P. aeruginosa is a potent competitor and utilizes an arsenal of extracellular products and other mechanisms to kill neighboring bacteria; therefore, it is interesting that these S. aureus isolates have developed defensive strategies that allow them to survive coculture in vitro. Unsurprisingly, when investigating the metadata associated with these isolates, we discovered that all four of these isolates, all of which came from different individuals, were coinfected with P. aeruginosa at the time of collection. Of the 46 group 1 isolates (nonmucoid kills), 20 (43.4%) were coinfected with P. aeruginosa at the time of collection, while 7 of the 15 (46.7%) group 2 (both kill) isolates were from coinfected individuals, signifying that coinfection was most important for group 3 (neither kills) isolates. While much work has been done to show that P. aeruginosa and S. aureus do not appear to come in direct contact during an established infection in a chronic-wound model (77, 78), whether this holds true in the context of CF has not been clearly shown. In CF, it is possible that during initial infection, there is interaction between these two microbes but that they eventually separate and create a spatial structure due to their antagonistic interaction, which follows a well-studied ecological theory (79). It is also possible that these S. aureus isolates obtained other fitness benefits from genetic changes that allow for coexistence with P. aeruginosa.

Diversity of S. aureus CF isolates. Based on the analysis performed here, we conclude that the U.S. S. aureus CF clinical isolates surveyed here are not from clonal lineages that transmit between CF patients but instead are from multiple independent

colonization events. Not only do they vary in virulence phenotypes, but also in their interactions with *P. aeruginosa*. These variations may be due to inherent differences during initial infection or evolutionary changes in response to their environment, both that of the CF lung but also of the presence of other pathogens like *P. aeruginosa* or other members of the lung microbiome (80). Most isolates retained virulence-associated phenotypes, namely, hemolytic activity and the mucoid phenotype, after infecting the CF lung. The mucoid phenotype may aid in adhesion and can protect *S. aureus* from immune cell attack, so it was not surprising to find that many of our isolates were mucoid.

Many studies have previously shown that *P. aeruginosa* kills *S. aureus* in a variety of *in vitro* experiments. Some have also shown *P. aeruginosa* isolates that cannot kill *S. aureus*; however, a widespread examination of *S. aureus* isolates and their ability to withstand *P. aeruginosa* attack had not been performed prior to this study. While we have not yet identified the *S. aureus* mechanisms involved in the diversity of interaction with *P. aeruginosa*, we have ruled out some specific genotypes and phenotypes. We conclude that this interaction is complex and multifactorial. There were no striking phenotypes or genotypes that were specific or unique for each coculture interaction group. We are currently further investigating these *S. aureus* isolates for genetic factors or phenotypes responsible for the varying interaction with *P. aeruginosa*.

Interestingly, none of the *S. aureus* isolates discussed in this study were small-colony variants, which has been shown to be a known adaptation to the CF lung environment (27–30). Some became small-colony variants after being challenged with *P. aeruginosa*, but this was not consistent, and the strains quickly reverted when restreaked alone on isolation agar for *S. aureus*. This leads us to a limitation of our study; we collected only single isolates from a patient, which may have led us to lose small-colony variants as well as to not fully understand the prevalence of the phenotypes described in this study. We appreciate that bacteria live in populations in the CF lung and that many of these phenotypes may live together. Phenotypes that involve extracellular products, such as polysaccharide and toxin production, may allow for *trans*-complementation in a community where isolates incapable of performing those actions may still benefit from other isolates capable of producing these products. Therefore, in the future, we hope to obtain multiple colonies from a single patient to better understand the diversity of *S. aureus* inside a single individual and expand the *P. aeruginosa* strains used in our coculture test.

S. aureus is the most prevalent cause of lung infection in individuals with CF in the United States, yet a small number of large-scale studies combining genomic and phenotypic data had been performed before this study. Understanding the diversity of these isolates and how specific phenotypes and genotypes connect to patient health is paramount to the development of more effective treatments for CF respiratory infections. If we can provide clinical microbiology labs with a list of specific *S. aureus* traits to monitor in order to prevent coinfection between *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* and the associated health decline, we could make a huge impact on the health of individuals with CF. Outside of lung infections, MRSA causes a substantial number of infections at all body sites and is recognized as a significant threat by the CDC. We have identified a subset of isolates that are sensitive to attack by other bacteria. If we can identify what kills these bacteria or what genes make them sensitive, it could provide new treatment options for these notoriously hard-to-treat infections. Overall, our work contributes to a better understanding of the diversity of *S. aureus* and how it adapts in CF lung infections.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains and growth conditions. The *S. aureus* isolates used in the study are listed in Table 1. These isolates are renamed in this publication in order to simplify their names. Therefore, we have provided Table S2 in the supplemental material outlining this name change from this publication with their sequences in Bernardy et al. (38). *P. aeruginosa* strains used were laboratory strain nonmucoid PAO1 (81) and mucoid PAO1 containing the *mucA22* allele, also known as PDO300 (82). *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* were grown in lysogeny broth (LB) and Trypticase soy broth (TSB), with 1.5% agar for solid

medium. Selective media for *P. aeruginosa* was *Pseudomonas* isolation agar (PIA; BD Difco), while selective medium for *S. aureus* was Trypticase soy agar (TSA; BD BBL) with 7.5% NaCl, called *Staphyloccoccus* isolation agar (SIA).

Whole-genome phylogeny, virulence factor gene search, and longitudinal isolates analysis. The genomes were processed with the Staphopia (42) analysis pipeline. Each sample was assembled with SPAdes (83) and annotated with Prokka (84). ARIBA (58) was used to match sequence data from each project against the MEGARES antibiotic resistance database (85) to determine resistance or sensitivity to antibiotic classes. Roary (86) was used to determine the pan-genome and to create a core genome alignment with MAFFT (87). Recombination was identified in the core genome alignment with Clonal-FrameML (88) and masked with maskrc-svg (https://github.com/kwongj/maskrc-svg). A phylogenetic tree based on the masked core genome alignment was created with IQ-TREE (89–91) with automatic substitution model selection and 1,000 ultrafast bootstrap replicates. The log likelihood score for the consensus tree constructed from 1,000 bootstrap trees was –2,575,231. We used FastANI to obtain pairwise average nucleotide identity estimates (92). Illumina data of isolates from patient CFBR105 were compared against the complete genome of the first isolate, CFBR_29 (RefSeq accession number NZ_CP031779.1 [38], PRJNA480016, AAB80783.1, AAB63265.1, AAB63268.1, and AAG03056.1), using breseq (93).

The core VFDB proteome (http://www.mgc.ac.cn/VFs/Down/VFDB_setA_pro.fas.gz) was downloaded on 13 April 2020 and *Staphylococcus aureus* selected. The proteins were used to query the database of assembled contigs of the genomes of this study using tblastn. The percentage identity of the top match for each protein with a match of \geq 100 amino acids and \geq 40% was determined. If the match fell below these thresholds, the protein was determined to be absent in the isolate.

Genotypic characterization of virulence phenotypes. Alpha and beta toxin sequences (*hla* and *hlb*, respectively) were extracted from the hemolysis-positive *S. aureus* JE2 reference genome (accession number GCA_002085525.1) and were queried against the *S. aureus* CF clinical isolate genomes using BLAST 2.9.0 (94). A similar strategy was adopted for *agr* typing, for which AgrD sequences for the 4 *agr* groups were used as references (GenBank accession numbers AAB80783.1, AAB63265.1, AAB63266.1, and AAG03056.1). Hits with >95% amino acid sequence identity and >90% query coverage were considered to be positive for the presence of alpha/beta toxin or the respective *agr* type.

Staphylococcal protein A (*spa*) type repeat successions and sequences corresponding to individual repeats were downloaded from the Ridom Spa Server (52). These files were then combined to create a FASTA file having the complete sequence for 18,915 *spa* types. These sequences were then converted to a BLAST database and used to query our *S. aureus* genomes. Because *spa* types are assigned based on repeat sequence identity and the number of repeats, we assigned the longest *spa* sequence having a 100% sequence match and 100% query coverage as the *spa* type for a given sample.

Hemolysis assays. *S. aureus* CF clinical isolates and the lab isolate JE2 were plated on rabbit blood and sheep blood agar plates. Briefly, wooden sticks were placed in a cryovial with a frozen stock of the chosen isolate and then gently touched to the surface of the chosen blood agar plate. Plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 h, and the presence or absence of clear hemolysis was recorded. After this, the plates were incubated again at 4°C for 24 h, and clear hemolysis presence/absence was recorded again. For ease, each isolate was scored as "+" if clear hemolysis was detected or "-" for no clearing (not hemolyzing blood). All isolates tested grew on both types of plates.

Phenotypic characterization of polysaccharide production. Each *S. aureus* CF clinical isolate, along with positive (the MN8 wild type and an MN8 mucoid strain which had a 5-bp deletion in the *ica* operon) and negative (MN8 Δica , which has the entire *ica* operon deleted) controls for polysaccharide production (provided by Gerald B. Pier, Brigham & Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School) (66), was streaked for single colonies on Congo red agar (CRA) plates. CRA was made as previously described (67). We combined 18.5 g Oxoid brain heart infusion broth, 25 g sucrose, and 5 g agar in 500 ml of distilled water and autoclaved it. After it cooled to ~55°C, we then added 8 ml of Congo red dye stock solution (made by dissolving 5 g into 100 ml and autoclaving). Briefly, wooden sticks were placed in cryovials with a frozen stock of each isolate and then streaked across CRA. Plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Results were interpreted as previously described (64, 95–97): black and deep-red smooth colonies were considered to be normal polysaccharide-producing strains (like wild-type MN8), red smooth colonies were considered to be nonproducers. (like MN8 Δica), and rough colonies of any color (like mucoid MN8) were considered to be overproducers.

Coculture assay. To monitor the interaction between *P. aeruginosa* and the *S. aureus* isolates in this study, we performed a quantitative coculture assay using either nonmucoid or mucoid *P. aeruginosa* strain PAO1. Briefly, wooden sticks were placed in cryovials with frozen stocks of nonmucoid and mucoid PAO1 and then streaked for single colonies onto PIA, while *S. aureus* isolates were streaked onto SIA. Both were incubated at 37° C overnight. Single colonies were selected and then grown in liquid LB at 37° C overnight. These cultures of *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* were then back-diluted to an optical density of 0.05 and mixed in a 1:1 ratio with each other or with sterile broth as "alone" controls. Ten microliters of each mixture was placed onto a 0.45- μ m filter on a TSA plate and incubated at 37° C for 24 h. After incubation, filters were removed using sterile forceps, and bacteria were resuspended in 1.5 ml of sterile LB before serial dilution and plating onto PIA and SIA. After incubation at 37° C overnight, colonies were counted and numbers of CFU per milliliter calculated. Fold change of *S. aureus* was calculated by dividing the CFU per milliliter of *S. aureus* grown with nonmucoid PAO1 or with mucoid PAO1 (average CFU per milliliter with standard deviation error bars in Fig. S5) over the CFU per milliliter of each *S. aureus* isolate

mBio

grown alone (average CFU per milliliter with standard deviation error bars in Fig. S4). A fold change of $<\!\!$ standard to indicate significantly killing.

Availability of data. Raw Illumina reads available under BioProject accession number PRJNA480016 were used in this study.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material is available online only. **TEXT S1**, DOCX file, 0.02 MB. **FIG S1**, EPS file, 1.6 MB. **FIG S2**, EPS file, 0.6 MB. **FIG S3**, EPS file, 0.6 MB. **FIG S4**, EPS file, 0.7 MB. **FIG S5**, EPS file, 1.5 MB. **TABLE S1**, DOCX file, 0.02 MB. **TABLE S2**, DOCX file, 0.02 MB.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported, in part, by a Pediatric Research Alliance pilot project (00068914 to J.B.G. and T.D.R.) from the Center for Cystic Fibrosis and Airways Disease Research (CF-AIR) and Children's Healthcare of Atlanta. Bacterial isolates were obtained from the Cystic Fibrosis Biospecimen Registry, which is supported in part by the CF Discovery Core of the CF@LANTA RDP Center, and by the Center for Cystic Fibrosis and Airways Disease Research, components of the Children's CF Center of Excellence at Emory University, and Children's Healthcare of Atlanta. We also thank the NIH IRACDA Fellowships in Research and Science Teaching (FIRST) program at Emory for financial support to E.E.B. (project number 5K12GM000680-19).

The content of this paper is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the funding agencies. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

We thank Gregory P. Priebe for isolates obtained from Boston Children's Hospital, Gerald B. Pier for *S. aureus* strains for investigating polysaccharide production, and Cassandra Quave for assistance with the hemolysis assay. This publication made use of the *spa* typing website (http://www.spaserver.ridom.de/), which was developed by Ridom GmbH and is curated by SeqNet.org (http://www.SeqNet.org/).

REFERENCES

- Taylor-Robinson D, Whitehead M, Diderichsen F, Olesen HV, Pressler T, Smyth RL, Diggle P. 2012. Understanding the natural progression in %FEV1 decline in patients with cystic fibrosis: a longitudinal study. Thorax 67:860-866. https://doi.org/10.1136/thoraxjnl-2011-200953.
- 2. Cystic Fibrosis Foundation. 2018. Cystic Fibrosis Foundation patient registry 2018 annual data report. Cystic Fibrosis Foundation, Bethesda, MD.
- Cystic Fibrosis Trust. 2009. Antibiotic treatment for cystic fibrosis report of the UK Cystic Fibrosis Trust Antibiotic Working Group. Cystic Fibrosis Trust, London, United Kingdom.
- Mogayzel PJ, Naureckas ET, Robinson KA, Mueller G, Hadjiliadis D, Hoag JB, Lubsch L, Hazle L, Sabadosa K, Marshall B, Pulmonary Clinical Practice Guidelines Committee. 2013. Cystic fibrosis pulmonary guidelines. Chronic medications for maintenance of lung health. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 187:680–689. https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm .201207-1160oe.
- Caudri D, Turkovic L, Ng J, de Klerk NH, Rosenow T, Hall GL, Ranganathan SC, Sly PD, Stick SM, AREST CF. 2018. The association between *Staphylococcus aureus* and subsequent bronchiectasis in children with cystic fibrosis. J Cyst Fibros 17:462–469. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcf.2017.12 .002.
- Hurley MN, Fogarty A, McKeever TM, Goss CH, Rosenfeld M, Smyth AR. 2018. Early respiratory bacterial detection and antistaphylococcal antibiotic prophylaxis in young children with cystic fibrosis. Ann Am Thoracic Soc 15:42–48. https://doi.org/10.1513/AnnalsATS.201705-376OC.
- 7. Smyth AR, Rosenfeld M. 2017. Prophylactic anti-staphylococcal antibiot-

ics for cystic fibrosis. Cochrane Db Syst Rev 4:CD001912. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/14651858.CD001912.pub4.

- 8. Limoli DH, Hoffman LR. 2019. Help, hinder, hide and harm: what can we learn from the interactions between *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Staphylococcus aureus* during respiratory infections? Thorax 74:684–692. https://doi.org/10.1136/thoraxjnl-2018-212616.
- Sagel SD, Gibson RL, Emerson J, McNamara S, Burns JL, Wagener JS, Ramsey BW. 2009. Impact of *Pseudomonas* and *Staphylococcus* infection on inflammation and clinical status in young children with cystic fibrosis. J Pediatr 154:183–188. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2008.08.001.
- Hubert D, Réglier-Poupet H, Sermet-Gaudelus I, Ferroni A, Le Bourgeois M, Burgel P-R, Serreau R, Dusser D, Poyart C, Coste J. 2013. Association between *Staphylococcus aureus* alone or combined with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and the clinical condition of patients with cystic fibrosis. J Cyst Fibros 12:497–503. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcf.2012.12.003.
- Limoli DH, Yang J, Khansaheb MK, Helfman B, Peng L, Stecenko AA, Goldberg JB. 2016. *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* co-infection is associated with cystic fibrosis-related diabetes and poor clinical outcomes. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 35:947–953. https://doi .org/10.1007/s10096-016-2621-0.
- Hotterbeekx A, Kumar-Singh S, Goossens H, Malhotra-Kumar S. 2017. In vivo and in vitro interactions between Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Staphylococcus spp. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 7:106. https://doi.org/10 .3389/fcimb.2017.00106.
- 13. Hurley MN, Smyth AR. 2018. Staphylococcus aureus in cystic fibrosis:

pivotal role or bit part actor? Curr Opin Pulm Med 24:586–591. https://doi.org/10.1097/MCP.000000000000518.

- Machan ZA, Taylor GW, Pitt TL, Cole PJ, Wilson R. 1992. 2-Heptyl-4hydroxyquinoline N-oxide, an antistaphylococcal agent produced by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J Antimicrob Chemother 30:615–623. https:// doi.org/10.1093/jac/30.5.615.
- Korgaonkar A, Trivedi U, Rumbaugh KP, Whiteley M. 2013. Community surveillance enhances *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* virulence during polymicrobial infection. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110:1059–1064. https://doi .org/10.1073/pnas.1214550110.
- Filkins LM, Graber JA, Olson DG, Dolben EL, Lynd LR, Bhuju S, O'Toole GA. 2015. Coculture of *Staphylococcus aureus* with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* drives *S. aureus* towards fermentative metabolism and reduced viability in a cystic fibrosis model. J Bacteriol 197:2252–2264. https://doi .org/10.1128/JB.00059-15.
- Nguyen AT, Jones JW, Ruge MA, Kane MA, Oglesby-Sherrouse AG. 2015. Iron depletion enhances production of antimicrobials by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J Bacteriol 197:2265–2275. https://doi.org/10 .1128/JB.00072-15.
- Limoli DH, Whitfield GB, Kitao T, Ivey ML, Davis MR, Grahl N, Hogan DA, Rahme LG, Howell PL, O'Toole GA, Goldberg JB. 2017. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* alginate overproduction promotes coexistence with *Staphylococcus aureus* in a model of cystic fibrosis respiratory infection. mBio 8:e00186-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00186-17.
- Ankrum A, Hall BG. 2017. Population dynamics of *Staphylococcus aureus* in cystic fibrosis patients to determine transmission events by use of whole-genome sequencing. J Clin Microbiol 55:2143–2152. https://doi .org/10.1128/JCM.00164-17.
- McAdam PR, Holmes A, Templeton KE, Fitzgerald JR. 2011. Adaptive evolution of *Staphylococcus aureus* during chronic endobronchial infection of a cystic fibrosis patient. PLoS One 6:e24301. https://doi.org/10 .1371/journal.pone.0024301.
- Langhanki L, Berger P, Treffon J, Catania F, Kahl BC, Mellmann A. 2018. *In vivo* competition and horizontal gene transfer among distinct *Staphylococcus aureus* lineages as major drivers for adaptational changes during long-term persistence in humans. BMC Microbiol 18:152. https:// doi.org/10.1186/s12866-018-1308-3.
- 22. Lima DF, Cohen RW, Rocha GA, Albano RM, Marques EA, Leao RS. 2017. Genomic information on multidrug-resistant livestock-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* ST398 isolated from a Brazilian patient with cystic fibrosis. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz 112:79–80. https://doi.org/10.1590/0074-02760160342.
- Rouard C, Garnier F, Leraut J, Lepainteur M, Rahajamananav L, Languepin J, Ploy M-C, Bourgeois-Nicolaos N, Doucet-Populaire F. 2018. Emergence and within-host genetic evolution of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* resistant to linezolid in a cystic fibrosis patient. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 62:e00720-18. https://doi.org/10.1128/ AAC.00720-18.
- Long SW, Olsen RJ, Mehta SC, Palzkill T, Cernoch PL, Perez KK, Musick WL, Rosato AE, Musser JM. 2014. PBP2a mutations causing high-level ceftaroline resistance in clinical methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 58:6668–6674. https://doi.org/ 10.1128/AAC.03622-14.
- Gabryszewski SJ, Wong Fok Lung T, Annavajhala MK, Tomlinson KL, Riquelme SA, Khan IN, Noguera LP, Wickersham M, Zhao A, Mulenos AM, Peaper D, Koff JL, Uhlemann A-C, Prince A. 2019. Metabolic adaptation in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* pneumonia. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 61:185–197. https://doi.org/10.1165/rcmb.2018-0389OC.
- Bhagirath AY, Li Y, Somayajula D, Dadashi M, Badr S, Duan K. 2016. Cystic fibrosis lung environment and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection. BMC Pulm Med 16:174. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12890-016-0339-5.
- Hirschhausen N, Block D, Bianconi I, Bragonzi A, Birtel J, Lee JC, Dübbers A, Küster P, Kahl J, Peters G, Kahl BC. 2013. Extended *Staphylococcus aureus* persistence in cystic fibrosis is associated with bacterial adaptation. Int J Med Microbiol 303:685–692. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmm .2013.09.012.
- Johns BE, Purdy KJ, Tucker NP, Maddocks SE. 2015. Phenotypic and genotypic characteristics of small colony variants and their role in chronic infection. Microbiol Insights 8:15–23. https://doi.org/10.4137/ MBI.525800.
- Masoud-Landgraf L, Zarfel G, Kaschnigg T, Friedl S, Feierl G, Wagner-Eibel U, Eber E, Grisold AJ, Kittinger C. 2016. Analysis and characterization of *Staphylococcus aureus* small colony variants isolated from cystic

- Kahl BC. 2014. Small colony variants (SCVs) of Staphylococcus aureus—a bacterial survival strategy. Infect Genet Evol 21:515–522. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.meegid.2013.05.016.
- Donlan RM, Costerton JW. 2002. Biofilms: survival mechanisms of clinically relevant microorganisms. Clin Microbiol Rev 15:167–193. https:// doi.org/10.1128/cmr.15.2.167-193.2002.
- McKenney D, Pouliot KL, Wang Y, Murthy V, Ulrich M, Döring G, Lee JC, Goldmann DA, Pier GB. 1999. Broadly protective vaccine for *Staphylococcus aureus* based on an in vivo-expressed antigen. Science 284: 1523–1527. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.284.5419.1523.
- Otto M. 2013. Staphylococcal infections: mechanisms of biofilm maturation and detachment as critical determinants of pathogenicity. Annu Rev Med 64:175–188. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-med-042711 -140023.
- 34. Schwerdt M, Neumann C, Schwartbeck B, Kampmeier S, Herzog S, Görlich D, Dübbers A, Große-Onnebrink J, Kessler C, Küster P, Schültingkemper H, Treffon J, Peters G, Kahl BC. 2018. *Staphylococcus aureus* in the airways of cystic fibrosis patients—a retrospective long-term study. Int J Med Microbiol 308:631–639. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmm.2018.02.003.
- 35. Wolter DJ, Onchiri FM, Emerson J, Precit MR, Lee M, McNamara S, Nay L, Blackledge M, Uluer A, Orenstein DM, Mann M, Hoover W, Gibson RL, Burns JL, Hoffman LR, SCVSA Study Group. 2019. Prevalence and clinical associations of *Staphylococcus aureus* small-colony variant respiratory infection in children with cystic fibrosis (SCVSA): a multicentre, observational study. Lancet Respir Med 7:1027–1038. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S2213-2600(19)30365-0.
- Lennartz FE, Schwartbeck B, Dübbers A, Große-Onnebrink J, Kessler C, Küster P, Schültingkemper H, Peters G, Kahl BC. 2019. The prevalence of *Staphylococcus aureus* with mucoid phenotype in the airways of patients with cystic fibrosis—a prospective study. Int J Med Microbiol 309: 283–287. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmm.2019.05.002.
- 37. Otto M. 2014. *Staphylococcus aureus* toxins. Curr Opin Microbiol 17: 32–37. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2013.11.004.
- Bernardy EE, Petit RA, Moller AG, Blumenthal JA, McAdam AJ, Priebe GP, Chande AT, Rishishwar L, Jordan IK, Read TD, Goldberg JB. 2019. Wholegenome sequences of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from cystic fibrosis lung infections. Microbiol Resour Announc 8:e01564-18. https://doi.org/ 10.1128/MRA.01564-18.
- Kennedy AD, Otto M, Braughton KR, Whitney AR, Chen L, Mathema B, Mediavilla JR, Byrne KA, Parkins LD, Tenover FC, Kreiswirth BN, Musser JM, DeLeo FR. 2008. Epidemic community-associated methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: recent clonal expansion and diversification. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 105:1327–1332. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.0710217105.
- Diep BA, Gill SR, Chang RF, Phan TH, Chen JH, Davidson MG, Lin F, Lin J, Carleton HA, Mongodin EF, Sensabaugh GF, Perdreau-Remington F. 2006. Complete genome sequence of USA300, an epidemic clone of community-acquired methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Lancet 367:731–739. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(06)68231-7.
- Tong SY, Davis JS, Eichenberger E, Holland TL, Fowler VG, Jr. 2015. Staphylococcus aureus infections: epidemiology, pathophysiology, clini- cal manifestations, and management. Clin Microbiol Rev 28:603–661. https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00134-14.
- Petit RA, III, Read TD. 2018. Staphylococcus aureus viewed from the perspective of 40,000+ genomes. PeerJ 6:e5261. https://doi.org/10 .7717/peerj.5261.
- Tickler IA, Goering RV, Mediavilla JR, Kreiswirth BN, Tenover FC, Consortium H, HAI Consortium. 2017. Continued expansion of USA300-like methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) among hospitalized patients in the United States. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 88:342–347. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2017.04.016.
- 44. Planet PJ, Diaz L, Kolokotronis S-O, Narechania A, Reyes J, Xing G, Rincon S, Smith H, Panesso D, Ryan C, Smith DP, Guzman M, Zurita J, Sebra R, Deikus G, Nolan RL, Tenover FC, Weinstock GM, Robinson DA, Arias CA. 2015. Parallel epidemics of community-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* USA300 infection in North and South America. J Infect Dis 212:1874–1882. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiv320.
- 45. Bowers JR, Driebe EM, Albrecht V, McDougal LK, Granade M, Roe CC, Lemmer D, Rasheed JK, Engelthaler DM, Keim P, Limbago BM. 2018. Improved subtyping of *Staphylococcus aureus* clonal complex 8 strains based on whole-genome phylogenetic analysis. mSphere 3:e00464-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00464-17.

- 46. Strauß L, Stegger M, Akpaka PE, Alabi A, Breurec S, Coombs G, Egyir B, Larsen AR, Laurent F, Monecke S, Peters G, Skov R, Strommenger B, Vandenesch F, Schaumburg F, Mellmann A. 2017. Origin, evolution, and global transmission of community-acquired *Staphylococcus aureus* ST8. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 114:E10596–E10604. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.1702472114.
- Gordon NC, Price JR, Cole K, Everitt R, Morgan M, Finney J, Kearns AM, Pichon B, Young B, Wilson DJ, Llewelyn MJ, Paul J, Peto TEA, Crook DW, Walker AS, Golubchik T. 2014. Prediction of *Staphylococcus aureus* antimicrobial resistance by whole-genome sequencing. J Clin Microbiol 52:1182–1191. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.03117-13.
- Singh R, Ray P. 2014. Quorum sensing-mediated regulation of staphylococcal virulence and antibiotic resistance. Future Microbiol 9:669–681. https://doi.org/10.2217/fmb.14.31.
- 49. Mohsenzadeh M, Ghazvini K, Azimian A. 2015. Frequency of specific agr groups and antibiotic resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from bovine mastitis in the northeast of Iran. Vet Res Forum 6:295–299.
- Kavanaugh JS, Horswill AR. 2016. Impact of environmental cues on staphylococcal quorum sensing and biofilm development. J Biol Chem 291:12556–12564. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.R116.722710.
- Srivastava SK, Rajasree K, Fasim A, Arakere G, Gopal B. 2014. Influence of the AgrC-AgrA complex on the response time of *Staphylococcus aureus* quorum sensing. J Bacteriol 196:2876–2888. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB .01530-14.
- Harmsen D, Claus H, Witte W, Rothgänger J, Claus H, Turnwald D, Vogel U. 2003. Typing of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in a university hospital setting by using novel software for *spa* repeat determination and database management. J Clin Microbiol 41:5442–5448. https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.41.12.5442-5448.2003.
- 53. Asadollahi P, Farahani NN, Mirzaii M, Khoramrooz SS, van Belkum A, Asadollahi K, Dadashi M, Darban-Sarokhalil D. 2018. Distribution of the most prevalent *spa* types among clinical isolates of methicillin-resistant and -susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* around the world: a review. Front Microbiol 9:163. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.00163.
- Nygaard TK, Pallister KB, DuMont AL, DeWald M, Watkins RL, Pallister EQ, Malone C, Griffith S, Horswill AR, Torres VJ, Voyich JM. 2012. Alpha-toxin induces programmed cell death of human T cells, B cells, and monocytes during USA300 infection. PLoS One 7:e36532. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0036532.
- Wang R, Braughton KR, Kretschmer D, Bach T-HL, Queck SY, Li M, Kennedy AD, Dorward DW, Klebanoff SJ, Peschel A, DeLeo FR, Otto M. 2007. Identification of novel cytolytic peptides as key virulence determinants for community-associated MRSA. Nat Med 13:1510–1514. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm1656.
- Chen L, Yang J, Yu J, Yao Z, Sun L, Shen Y, Jin Q. 2005. VFDB: a reference database for bacterial virulence factors. Nucleic Acids Res 33: D325–D328. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gki008.
- Liu B, Zheng D, Jin Q, Chen L, Yang J. 2019. VFDB 2019: a comparative pathogenomic platform with an interactive web interface. Nucleic Acids Res 47:D687–D692. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1080.
- Hunt M, Mather AE, Sánchez-Busó L, Page AJ, Parkhill J, Keane JA, Harris SR. 2017. ARIBA: rapid antimicrobial resistance genotyping directly from sequencing reads. Microb Genom 3:e000131. https://doi.org/10.1099/ mgen.0.000131.
- Garbacz K, Piechowicz L, Podkowik M, Mroczkowska A, Empel J, Bania J. 2018. Emergence and spread of worldwide Staphylococcus aureus clones among cystic fibrosis patients. Infect Drug Resist 11:247–255. https://doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S153427.
- Vandenesch F, Lina G, Henry T. 2012. Staphylococcus aureus hemolysins, bi-component leukocidins, and cytolytic peptides: a redundant arsenal of membrane-damaging virulence factors? Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2:12. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2012.00012.
- 61. Gouaux JE, Braha O, Hobaugh MR, Song L, Cheley S, Shustak C, Bayley H. 1994. Subunit stoichiometry of staphylococcal alpha-hemolysin in crystals and on membranes: a heptameric transmembrane pore. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 91:12828–12831. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.91.26 .12828.
- Huseby M, Shi K, Brown CK, Digre J, Mengistu F, Seo KS, Bohach GA, Schlievert PM, Ohlendorf DH, Earhart CA. 2007. Structure and biological activities of beta toxin from *Staphylococcus aureus*. J Bacteriol 189: 8719–8726. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00741-07.
- Laabei M, Recker M, Rudkin JK, Aldeljawi M, Gulay Z, Sloan TJ, Williams P, Endres JL, Bayles KW, Fey PD, Yajjala VK, Widhelm T, Hawkins E, Lewis K, Parfett S, Scowen L, Peacock SJ, Holden M, Wilson D, Read TD, van den

- 64. Schwartbeck B, Birtel J, Treffon J, Langhanki L, Mellmann A, Kale D, Kahl J, Hirschhausen N, Neumann C, Lee JC, Götz F, Rohde H, Henke H, Küster P, Peters G, Kahl BC. 2016. Dynamic in vivo mutations within the ica operon during persistence of *Staphylococcus aureus* in the airways of cystic fibrosis patients. PLoS Pathog 12:e1006024. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006024.
- 65. Cramton SE, Gerke C, Schnell NF, Nichols WW, Gotz F. 1999. The intercellular adhesion (ica) locus is present in *Staphylococcus aureus* and is required for biofilm formation. Infect Immun 67:5427–5433. https://doi .org/10.1128/IAI.67.10.5427-5433.1999.
- 66. Jefferson KK, Cramton SE, Gotz F, Pier GB. 2003. Identification of a 5-nucleotide sequence that controls expression of the ica locus in *Staphylococcus aureus* and characterization of the DNA-binding properties of IcaR. Mol Microbiol 48:889–899. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365 -2958.2003.03482.x.
- Freeman DJ, Falkiner FR, Keane CT. 1989. New method for detecting slime production by coagulase negative staphylococci. J Clin Pathol 42:872–874. https://doi.org/10.1136/jcp.42.8.872.
- Mashburn LM, Jett AM, Akins DR, Whiteley M. 2005. Staphylococcus aureus serves as an iron source for Pseudomonas aeruginosa during in vivo coculture. J Bacteriol 187:554–566. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.187 .2.554-566.2005.
- Michelsen CF, Christensen AM, Bojer MS, Hoiby N, Ingmer H, Jelsbak L. 2014. *Staphylococcus aureus* alters growth activity, autolysis, and antibiotic tolerance in a human host-adapted *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* lineage. J Bacteriol 196:3903–3911. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.02006-14.
- Frydenlund Michelsen C, Hossein Khademi SM, Krogh Johansen H, Ingmer H, Dorrestein PC, Jelsbak L. 2016. Evolution of metabolic divergence in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* during long-term infection facilitates a proto-cooperative interspecies interaction. ISME J 10:1323–1336. https:// doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2015.220.
- Armbruster CR, Wolter DJ, Mishra M, Hayden HS, Radey MC, Merrihew G, MacCoss MJ, Burns J, Wozniak DJ, Parsek MR, Hoffman LR. 2016. *Staphylococcus aureus* protein A mediates interspecies interactions at the cell surface of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. mBio 7:e00538-16. https://doi.org/ 10.1128/mBio.00538-16.
- Orazi G, O'Toole GA. 2017. Pseudomonas aeruginosa alters Staphylococcus aureus sensitivity to vancomycin in a biofilm model of cystic fibrosis infection. mBio 8:e00873-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00873-17.
- MacIntyre DL, Miyata ST, Kitaoka M, Pukatzki S. 2010. The Vibrio cholerae type VI secretion system displays antimicrobial properties. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107:19520–19524. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.1012931107.
- Keitsch S, Riethmüller J, Soddemann M, Sehl C, Wilker B, Edwards MJ, Caldwell CC, Fraunholz M, Gulbins E, Becker KA. 2018. Pulmonary infection of cystic fibrosis mice with *Staphylococcus aureus* requires expression of alpha-toxin. Biol Chem 399:1203–1213. https://doi.org/10.1515/ hsz-2018-0161.
- Hoffman LR, Déziel E, D'Argenio DA, Lépine F, Emerson J, McNamara S, Gibson RL, Ramsey BW, Miller SI. 2006. Selection for *Staphylococcus aureus* small-colony variants due to growth in the presence of *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103:19890–19895. https:// doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0606756104.
- Rose HR, Holzman RS, Altman DR, Smyth DS, Wasserman GA, Kafer JM, Wible M, Mendes RE, Torres VJ, Shopsin B. 2015. Cytotoxic virulence predicts mortality in nosocomial pneumonia due to methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. J Infect Dis 211:1862–1874. https://doi.org/10 .1093/infdis/jiu554.
- Connell JL, Kim J, Shear JB, Bard AJ, Whiteley M. 2014. Real-time monitoring of quorum sensing in 3D-printed bacterial aggregates using scanning electrochemical microscopy. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111: 18255–18260. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1421211111.
- Fazli M, Bjarnsholt T, Kirketerp-Møller K, Jørgensen B, Andersen AS, Krogfelt KA, Givskov M, Tolker-Nielsen T. 2009. Nonrandom distribution of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Staphylococcus aureus* in chronic wounds. J Clin Microbiol 47:4084–4089. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM .01395-09.
- McNally L, Bernardy E, Thomas J, Kalziqi A, Pentz J, Brown SP, Hammer BK, Yunker PJ, Ratcliff WC. 2017. Killing by type VI secretion drives genetic phase separation and correlates with increased cooperation. Nat Commun 8:14371. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14371.

- Caverly LJ, Zhao J, LiPuma JJ. 2015. Cystic fibrosis lung microbiome: opportunities to reconsider management of airway infection. Pediatr Pulmonol 50(Suppl 40):S31–S38. https://doi.org/10.1002/ppul.23243.
- Noah TL, Black HR, Cheng PW, Wood RE, Leigh MW. 1997. Nasal and bronchoalveolar lavage fluid cytokines in early cystic fibrosis. J Infect Dis 175:638–647. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/175.3.638.
- Mathee K, Ciofu O, Sternberg C, Lindum PW, Campbell JIA, Jensen P, Johnsen AH, Givskov M, Ohman DE, Søren M, Høiby N, Kharazmi A. 1999. Mucoid conversion of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* by hydrogen peroxide: a mechanism for virulence activation in the cystic fibrosis lung. Microbiology 145:1349–1357. https://doi.org/10.1099/13500872-145-6-1349.
- Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, Lesin VM, Nikolenko SI, Pham S, Prjibelski AD, Pyshkin AV, Sirotkin AV, Vyahhi N, Tesler G, Alekseyev MA, Pevzner PA. 2012. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J Comput Biol 19:455–477. https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021.
- Seemann T. 2014. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. Bioinformatics 30:2068–2069. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu153.
- Lakin SM, Dean C, Noyes NR, Dettenwanger A, Ross AS, Doster E, Rovira P, Abdo Z, Jones KL, Ruiz J, Belk KE, Morley PS, Boucher C. 2017. MEGARes: an antimicrobial resistance database for high throughput sequencing. Nucleic Acids Res 45:D574–D580. https://doi.org/10.1093/ nar/gkw1009.
- Page AJ, Cummins CA, Hunt M, Wong VK, Reuter S, Holden MTG, Fookes M, Falush D, Keane JA, Parkhill J. 2015. Roary: rapid large-scale prokaryote pan genome analysis. Bioinformatics 31:3691–3693. https://doi .org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv421.
- Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. Mol Biol Evol 30:772–780. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst010.
- Didelot X, Wilson DJ. 2015. ClonalFrameML: efficient inference of recombination in whole bacterial genomes. PLoS Comput Biol 11:e1004041. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004041.
- 89. Nguyen LT, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. 2015. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood

- Hoang DT, Chernomor O, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ, Vinh LS. 2018. UFBoot2: improving the ultrafast bootstrap approximation. Mol Biol Evol 35:518–522. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msx281.
- Kalyaanamoorthy S, Minh BQ, Wong TKF, von Haeseler A, Jermiin LS. 2017. ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. Nat Methods 14:587–589. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4285.
- Jain C, Rodriguez RL, Phillippy AM, Konstantinidis KT, Aluru S. 2018. High throughput ANI analysis of 90K prokaryotic genomes reveals clear species boundaries. Nat Commun 9:5114. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467 -018-07641-9.
- Deatherage DE, Barrick JE. 2014. Identification of mutations in laboratory-evolved microbes from next-generation sequencing data using breseq. Methods Mol Biol 1151:165–188. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1 -4939-0554-6_12.
- Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, Madden TL. 2009. BLAST+: architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics 10:421. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-10-421.
- Zmantar T, Kouidhi B, Miladi H, Mahdouani K, Bakhrouf A. 2010. A microtiter plate assay for *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilm quantification at various pH levels and hydrogen peroxide supplementation. New Microbiol 33:137–145.
- 96. Ziebuhr W, Krimmer V, Rachid S, Lossner I, Gotz F, Hacker J. 1999. A novel mechanism of phase variation of virulence in *Staphylococcus epidermidis*: evidence for control of the polysaccharide intercellular adhesin synthesis by alternating insertion and excision of the insertion sequence element IS256. Mol Microbiol 32:345–356. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365 -2958.1999.01353.x.
- Arciola CR, Campoccia D, Gamberini S, Cervellati M, Donati E, Montanaro L. 2002. Detection of slime production by means of an optimised Congo red agar plate test based on a colourimetric scale in *Staphylococcus epidermidis* clinical isolates genotyped for ica locus. Biomaterials 23: 4233–4239. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0142-9612(02)00171-0.

mBio